A QUERY IN BAPTIST HISTORY

The Philadelphia Association; was it in its Organization and Early History Strictly Calvinistic?

By

Weston Bruner

Submitted to the Faculty of The Southern Baptist Theological Seminary in Partial Fulfilment for the Requirements for the Degree of Doctor in Theology

Louisville, Kentucky, 1894

ETIMO

	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1		THESE
		-	Th.D.
OUTHERN BAPTIST	IBRARY		B835
Loin	BYILLS: NY.		State State

RESTR.

S ag

465

THE PHILADEL PHIA ASSOCIACIATION, MAS IT IN IT'S ORGANIZATION AND EARLY HISTORY STRICTLY CALVINISTIC? Haston Bruner. itroduction It is a matter of great qualification to the frien of Historical investigation, tand such marks of an increased. interest in the fuitful subject of American Church Kistory. Evidence of this increased linter Est may be found in our theolog real Schools and Anoversities, and especially in the Kelique Fress. The american Church Mistory Leries, now being issued by the Christian Literature Cut lishing Company, inducates, it is believed, a new and bright.

Eva this Series is calculated not simply to give each reader a fit and ample knowledge as to the peculiar history of his own Denomination; - a thing generally meded and certain. ly much to be desired - but it will afford at will a vast amount of information as to The distinctive teachings and history of all the other Denominations, - a thing of the highest value to american Christendom. This great awaking in the investigation and study of american Church History will bring to light, no doubt, many facts and truths not generally known hitherto. It may also cause muto question some things here to fore fully ac cepted as Historical verities. The query now advanced as to the Whitadelplia association has never been vaised before, so favalue are aware. It thus becauce quite necessary to be clearly understood at the outset! Securiter the question is not spring because of any runder equipathy with

General 13 aptist views, for in the main Certainly hold the scriptural view Calvinian is scriptural if any great system of doctine rs. Heither is this inquiry made because of any dow bt as to the Philadelphia Association being now atrictly Calvinistic. The fact is fully recognized that for more than one hundred and fifty years, it has been the bulwark of American athodoxy, especially on the doctrine of Election, Great indeed have been to prove monordidy and waintaining Thaftist tenets To this association the credit is chainly due and pretty generally conceded for the fact that Andricand Baptists - are mostly bal. vinists Not-a farticle of the glory should be taken from its great and valiant metories. And if it should be found, after ear ful investigation, that in its organization and Early history it was some what tainted with Armianism, there it should receive the grater honor The victory is thus a double and, for not only did it give the death - blow

to animianismontride of its own bounds, but in its midat as well. In this thesis, the desire is merely to enter into a careful examination of the Early history of the Chiladelphia are ciation, in the hope of reaching a corrict answer to the question raised. The facts in the case must of course deside the matter, otherwise a satisfactory conclusion cannot be reached. Whatever may be the outcome of this investigation or whatever criticism it may call forth, we are willing to abide by the result reached if it is in full accord with the truth "The truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth" as far as it can be ascertained, is the desired Chapter I. Loune Changes in Baptist Faith and Order. I whaps no unprejudiced person who will investigate thoraghly, would claim that Taptists have not changed their dochine

and practice in manythings yet it has een confidently asserted by a fire that Bastists of today are precisely what they have never varied one jot or little in doctrine or practice. They would take up the chain I traptist succession and have it sattle all the way back to Judea and Galile and would find in this chain all the foints contended for by Baftisto now. This is cer-Tainty a most pleasing delusion in which one night well delight to revel; but The facts show (and they should certainly decide in historical as in other matters) that however pleasing or de lightful it may ap. pear, it is only a detusion, resting on no - a brolutely sure foundation. It is not necessary to go back to the Hal. denses and Examine their faith to find doctrines believed and carries thy contended for, which Baptists do not now hold. On the Ther hand, Baptists now believe and practice some things which they did not previously maintained.

Hor need we go back to the Frabahtists of. Switzerland, Germany, Holland and England to discover points of - difference; such maybe found meaner house and marin our own time. It should ever be remembered that we have never had an infullible Church behind us, made poweiful by the Decisions Decrees and Symbols of great Ecumenical Conneile, and quarded and quicked by an infallible representative of le hist her on earth. Our tinets have been held by "men of like passions" and prejudices with ourselves - men such as we see and hur today, who made no chain to infallibility, but who held simply that the word of God alone is the standard of authority and our infallible quide. These heroes of faith some times possessed weathers with their yeal, and, while carnestly contend. ing for the truth in many things, held to some ill-fated errors. So it may be seen that Baptist be lief has varield, widely, not only in different ages, but in different churches of the same age.

It varies widely today. There our pice liarities are known, This need not be surprising. Andered the march is, that Baptists have held such a continuity of faith. Other Denominations which are bound by Elaborate breeds and Symbols and Church Councils, look with admining wonder at Baptist or thodoxy, seeing that they have no Conneil higher than the local Church and no anthoritive breed but the Bible. This could not be did they not hold so timacionsh to what they believe is clearly set forth in the storal and infallible word of god. get notwithstanding this fact, modifications in faith and practice have taken place in the last two hundred years, and it may be well to examine some of these (a) If the Anabaptists are included in the unch cought for line of succession, it must be admitted that Baftists have not always practised innersion. This point is made by all historians, and conceded by most four own. (Su Lectures by Dr. Whitsitt, Catheasts. Enclyclopedia of Baptists.) Indeed it analy be servinisty questioned, judging from a

comparison of the General Baptist Confession Faith put forth in 1611, with the other Ereds then held, whether the Baptists of that period practiced immersion (See Schaff's breeds of Christendon Volumes II and IT.) Certain it is that in the cartiest Baptist Confersion of Faith known, according to Writing, (See Annitagis History of the Baftists, fage 949) called "The Seven Articles, there is not even an intimation that in inversion is the mode of haptime, but today (b) The conduct of public worship has undergon many mode fications in the last two centures These changes have, modoubt, added in many ways "elements of color, variety and richness to bur worship, which were all so radly want. ing during the periods which were dominated as largely by the Imstanic forces in the religious world. Time was when a Saftist congregation would not engage in public singing; if a muter was moved by the Spirit he arose and sany whatever the Lord put in his month. I no one was thus moved a Baption assumbly in the aniddle of the eighteenthe century was as si

the makers. They argued with good effect, That if it was wrong to prayout of a book it was likewise wrong to sing out of a book. The controvery about enging was a long and bitter one, and many churches were rent ann. der, and some hopeling rimed before it was finally settled. The famous Buij Keach after a hard struggle, in 1690 introduced suging into his congregation, but his victory was un at the cost of a division in his church, wine of the mubers with drawing a little later and forming "anew anti-mging church." In 172 a John Cours introduced singing into his church at Humport So it will be conceded that as to singing in public worship Baptists have changed. (See Comer's heavy, page 55, her Broadies' Hotes in Homileties for 1892-3, lerosby Volume II, page 267, as well as Brunitagis History (c) Litewise the doctrine in regard to absolute predistination has been consider a bly modi fied. This question with us to day is fractically settled, but it was quite otherwise in the sail part of the eighterarth century, whether lealouring and animianister have both been dified, Each reacting on the other as that between the

, j² latest statements of the two offoring systems, a critical student can discern little more than a difference of comphasis," as the Vedder thinks, or from some other cause the question has become practically settled. But when the Chiladelphia Anociation was organized in 1707 and for your thereafter the Aminian Baptists are believed to have been in the majority, and had the issue been decided by a fogular vote, Calvinistic Baptuts would have suffered defeat. These are evident cleasent masks of change in Baptist belief be truth almost the only thing about which they have not changed more or less since they became hurrow as a demonination, are their views on religious liberty, The supreme authority of the Bible and believer's baption, bu ine peculiarity of Baftists was believer's baftism, and he made a strong flia for the union of all Baftist sects on this one basis of belief. (See Thorgan Edward' History of Baftists in Permy. vachia.) ("er haps this may be comidered by many the one great distinctive and distinquisting peculiarity now. but around this antral truth are clustered many due. times which we hold to be dear as life strelf.

Some Characteristics of the Gun Baptists. It is not the aim of this chapter to treat at length General Baptist prentiarities, but simply to call fatantion to come well known marks by which they may be identified where ever found. As a rule historians, both secular and seclesias tical, in regard to the early period of American History, speak wishout discrimination of the Gueral and Particular Baftists; hence it is thought well to mention some characteristics or distinguish. ing traits of the General Baptists. Of course in strost doctrines they were in essential agree. ment with lealvinistic Baptists about these nt is not necessary to speak. (a) In the settlement of America the General Baptists were know in some places as "Six-Frinciple Baptisto", because they laid stress on The six principles enermerated in Hebrews- 6:12 repentance, faith, baptism, layingourf hands, the resurrection and sternal life. "Of there the fourth is the only one peculiar to this body; they lay hands on all after baftism as a token of the impartation of the Spirit." (See H. le. Vedder's Short History of 1Baptists, page 148.) The lealon

istic brethren were called Fine. Principle Baptists," because they did not hold to the fourth point in Hebrews. 6: 1-2, as absolutely binding, allowing that it night or night not be admin. istered, according to the desire of the candidate (b) Another characteristic was their yearly and quarterly meetings, fashioned no doubt after the order of the Smakers. bu fact they were in many ways closely allied to the Quakers. A learned Orofessor in Church History in one of our most noted Theological Schools, in substance said that where ever you find a maker established if you will look out charply you well almost aurely find a General Baptist in close proximity. This being true, it is highly probable that they ' derived this custom from their backer brothen. But it matters not whence the custom it distinguishes Them from Particular Baptists. (c) Moreover they comphasized mais free agency more than lealvinsts, but it was a faint of emphasis only, for in theory, there was essential agreement about woar's will and its boudage to an and Satan as a result of the fall of man (See Orthodox leverferring Frank, levorsby, Volume II. Apendix ?) (d) Some of them also believed in aportany or fulling from grace, but this hereig was not

querally adopted; indeed in the Orthodox effectivally called according to God's sternal purpose, being purified by faith, do receive such a meanie of the Holy windion from the Holy Spirit by which they shall certainly percente unto sternal life." (See Article 84.) This savors of them at this period did not believe in aportary. (E) Perhaps another characteristic was the custom of laying on ghands on all mene bers received The Orthodox Confersion of Faith Enjoing this rite as a convaridness the bishop or elder ow baptized believers as each for the reception of the doly promised spirit of Christ, we believe, is a principle of Christ's doctrine, and ought to be practiced and submitted to by every haptiged believer in order to receive the province of the chirit of the Father and Sow" Article 32. (See Taylor's Gun. al Baptist, Volume I bage 182 and 226.) It is True that some of the Particular Baptists practiced this rite, but from what can be gathered it seems quite clear that they did not make it a test of church-fellow.

ship. When Welsh That settlid with Penne. pet which was then Calvinistic, they found That Bennipek had growindifferent on this subject, but they being largely Armin. ian, held tenacionsly to it. (See Horgan Edwards, Volume I) All the trouble that John Comer had with his church which was Calvinistic, was on account of his breaching on the rite of laying our of hands. Our Diary of John Cource, page 57, especially note.) While it is true that laying on of hands was practiced more generally by the Armin_ ians, yet it will had do to press this point too far, and claim that marly all the Baptists in America at that early period belonged to this class, -as the Knight secure to have done in his History of the General Baptists. (f) The last peculiarity which is to be treated here, is the doctrice of election and reprofation. They hold that God before the founda. tion of the world hath predestinated that all who believe on him shall be saved; and that all who be lieve not shall be damined, all of which he knew be fore. tud this is the election and reprobation spoken of in the Scripture; not that God hath fre.

destinated men to be wicked and so to be dammed, but that men being miched shall be dammed. For God would have all men to be saved, and come to the knowledge of truth, and would have no quan perish." (See Confession of Faith - 1411, Taylor's History of the General Baptists, Volume I, page 386 - and lerosby Volume I, appendix A.) Again concerning the extent of the atoment -as stated in the Cathodox Confersion of Faith "Christ died for all men, and there is a sufficiency his his death and querits for the sins of the whole world. He hath appointed the Gosfel to be preached unto all men and hath sent forth his spirit to accompany the word in order to beget repeatance and faith. So if any firish it is not forwant of the means I grace manifested by Christian to them, but for the working provement of the grace of God freely offered to them through Christin the gospil. The Carticular Baptists held that the much of the elect, of those persons predestinated to salvation, is "so certain and definite that it cannot be increased or diminished." (See len. tury Confession of Faith also Philadelphia Confession of Faith.) Herewas the great bour of contention between the general and Car. Tientar Baptists. Really this was the cardinal

doctine on which they divided without which the other pitty destinctions night have been ignored. One was called Cartien lavbecause holding to particular atomenent The other General because holding to general atoment. The former said, Chilit died The Elect, predestinated into salvation from before the foundation of the world, the latter said Christ died for allowing those who believe being predictionated unto salvation and therefore the Elect. (Compare leon ferrion of - 1611, 1646 and 1691 with teoriferrion A 1489-and Philadelphia Confession of 1742) With these statements before us we may The more fitty enter into the question under consideration, for by heeping there premiaritus constantly mininid - a General Baptist may usually be recognized where ever found. Chapter III. Powers of the general 1 Saptists in the Early Part of the Eighteenth Century. (1) Let us briefly notice their pour in England for the English Bahtists had great in fluence in

planting churches in America in hich was at this time a colony of Great Britain. The Benedict speaks as follows of the General Baptist in England: "This class of 18aptists although much the mallest now, claim providy of their more orthodox brethren, in the organization of their churches and in the diffusion of 13 aptist servicent in the country. And it a longine - after, trey had the largest number June of Education and influence." (See Bundie History of the Baptists, page 326.) Benedict wrote about 1800; 20 "two centuries ago" with him, was about 1650; "and for a long time -after", pirhaps included the recording part of the seventeenth century, possibly The first of the sighteenth. At least Eurough may be harred from Mr. Benedie is state. munt to show that when the first Baptist churches were being formed in the Hew Horld, the General - outwighed - the others. Benedict was - a lealvinist, which fact enhaves the value of histertimony. The other eide claim much more than this, but without sufficient proof il seems. (See thant gomery's History of the General Baptists.) (I) Leturnowturn ho and pay

our respects to their power in Anniea. Here they were the first to organize; in this early piriod all were weak and few in mucher which had a tendency no doubt to cause Baptists to mite in the formation of this churches Providence and Hurport Jurish Examples of this In 1652, a number of the menters of Mr. William's church in the driv because of their Arminian sentiment, and organized the first General Baptist church in Annica. The formation of the General Baptist church, at Henport had a shuilar About this time there arose a widesfread religious cold wave which struck a large. part of the Protestant world; it was quite severe in this country, and all Denouina tions suffered more or tessine the fall of religious temperature, the Baptists not ex. cepted. The Calvinistic, Baptists suffered more severely than the general who secured pretty well to have recovered this mormaltimperature by the beginning of the eighteen the centure, and by their wara geal, fervent spirit-and persistent good

works they had about divided power, with the Calvinistic Baptists But by the time of the great yearly thee ting of -1729, they had almost doubled withe mucher of churcher, and far more than doubled in point of multership. This was a wouder. ful growth in twenty-aine years. Much may be gleaned from the heavy of John leoner, who is by far the most reliable witness for this period of American Baptiot history. lever was a young man of learning and promise who died in the Thirtieth year of his age, but not before he had made himself innortal. When converted he joined the Cartienlan Baftists and 1. Pastor at Hewport, but he offended his church by publicly advocating the rite of haying du of hands, and soon afterwards left them to join the General Baptists who were far more gealous undeed the Casticu. Las Baptists seemed to be dowly but surely dying out, and had it not been for the great influence of the Whitefield - Edwards Revival - a few years later, they might have been known in history of known at all.

set us notice more minute by now, the great strength of the General Baptists in 1729, drawing large by from the klicing of tohn lower, an original and no doubt the most important - document on this subject. Here sare the individual churches accord. ing to Comer: Providence, Newport, New york, Groton, Dartmouth, new London, South Kingston, one in Providence under the care of the Peter Place" and one in Scituate, Swangey, Harwick and North Kingston Each. To make a met gain of 100% in twenty-nine years is no title progress, and shows that they were wideowake. In truth, as has been stated by -an eminent and learned Professor in Church History, "The General Baptists were - about to take the country, while the Particular bethren were sitting with folded hands and drooping heads making no conquests and winning no victories for their Master. I having this period the most talented and aggressive Baptists preachers in the

country belonged to the General Baptist ranks. Valentine Hightman was par excellence the Baptist preacher of his day. Then there were Micholas Eyres, Daniel Wightman, John Clark, Finothy Brooks, Jowathan Spraque, John Mason, etc. This body formed a brilliant array, and were loyal and valiant soldiers for the Lord and His Christ. They went about over the country every where, preaching and baptizing, stringthening and organizing chuches. If history does not write their nam high on the roll of fame, and porterity. fails to honor their heroic services; The Lord of the harvest will not forget them when the time comes for rewarding His servants. Another evidence of their great power was the yearly Meeting of 1729 Withing like it had Ever helw witnessed - among the America Colonies. " Tis supposed there were two hundred and fifty commicants and out thousand anditors" - hiary of John Comm - certainly-a remarkable gathering for This early period, and indicated to some extent at least that the General

Baptists in America were by no means asleep. The General Association of Kutucky reprisenting more than one hundred and sixty thousand commicants, would not call forth perhaps a larger assemblage at its annal meeting. The churches represent Ed at this meeting in 1729 were out and out Aminian, but they did not includ all the Arminian membership in the country. Many churcher like the first which were found, contained a mixed min bership, and could hard by be regar Ed as either leabrinistic os Arminian, without some mis givings . Let us now draw nearer our goal and Examine the religious status in and around the Engla leity. Wid Arminian influence extend to this section of the country, ar were the Baptists of Fernsyl varia all leatrinists? (a) Philadelphia, the leity of Brotherly Love, was founded by hakers. Pennyl. manin itself was mand after the great has ever had a greater beaker influence

Exerted in it, or was more fully permeated by their teachings. The makers were admited. by Aminians on the subject of election and The extent of the atomence to febrist. The dominant in fluence of Quakers always meant the presence of Arminian sentiment He might expect to find such sentiment among the Baptists of Veringloania, even if none of the makers had becauce Baptists. but before the formation of the Chiladelphia Association, George Keith with quete a following, with drew from the makers and many of these because Baptists most of whom found the churches in this Associa. tion. (See Mr. Edwards' History of the Baptists in Tenninglounia, under the head of Keith. ran ha hers.) Whe Mennomites. There were a great many Mennomites in Pennsylvania during the Early years of the Association. They were not in full sympathy with the Britisch Babtists, but had no doubt, couriderable influence. They were the followers of Sime Menno, and held to the Waterland Conferring of Faith; as to the extent of the atomement They were Arminian. (e) The Tunkers, as they were called, had

quite a mumbership in the easty history of Tennylvaria. "It is very hard" says Morgan Edwards, to give a true account of the principles of these Tunkers, as they have not published - any system or creed except what two individuals have put for the which have not been publicly avoired, Nowever, I may assert the following things concerning their from my own knowledge, they use General Baptists in the searce which that phrase bears in Great Britain, Etc. (Volume I paylee.) a Enigrants from the different churches in Europe, why incorporated with the churches in this section of the country, formed the fourth Armilian element. But this point is reserved for fuller consideration in the closing chapter, ering what has been raid in this chap it will be readily seen that General Baptists were to be found in almost Every place where there was Baptist sentiment, and their power in America at the close of the seven teen the century, and the opening of the eighteen the was weither

small nor insignificant. This chapter was considered expedient because many well informed persons seen to forget that the General Baptists in America ever had any respectable pour, a ever and any valuable work. Indud it was stated by one of the most learned blivines of this age, that there were no Gener al Baptists in America prior to the days of The Randal who in the latter part of the Eighteenth century, organized the fire till Baptist movement. (See Schaff's teruds of le hristen dom.) This may help to explain the general belief that there were none but Calvinists which constituted the Chiladelphia Associ ation in 1707. The are now ready to enter more fully into the discussion, hoping to reach a ratisfactory-answer to the question raised in this thereis. Chapter IV Philadelphia Association Examined in the Light of the Preceding le hafters

and Other Lacts. After the treatment of Chapters I and It concerning some of the peculiarities of the General Baptists and their great pow-Er, especially-about the beginning of the Eighteenth century, we may now more fully and more profitably as well as with greater satisfaction, enterinto a minute examination of the Chiladelphia Anciation in the light of all the facts which have been, and shall be, presented. We frankly admit that after all a perfectly reached. Per haps the sendt here will be, as many questions of a his torical character, uncertain-aquestion ofmere probability. This being true, we must accept the highly probable as correct, even though it cannot be proved such beyond question. Whatever near be the conclusion, let us accept it cheesfully and heartily, if it accords with. the human data. The Chiladelphia Anociation being the oldert by many years on America has an early history of the higher tinterest

and importance to the Baptist bother. hood generally. It originated in what was their "called Gineral or yearly theetings. There meetings were instituted," cauge Di. Samuel Jones, "as early as 16.08, and met alternately in May and September at Lower Dublin, Philadelphia, Saleri, Cohansey, Chester and Bushington, -at which places there were muchers, though no churches were constituted except Lower Wublin and leshansey. +++ +++ 1 But in the year 1707 they seenled to have taken andre property the four of an association for them they had delagates from several churches and aftended to their general concerns. The there fore date our beginning as an association from that there though we might with little impropriety extend it back some years? her Samuel Jones, one of the most learned Baptist preachers of his age was certainly in a position to know as to the facts about which he wrote.

He became pastor of Lower Lublin church in 1768, and for more than half a century his name may be found in The Philadelphia Association fuintes. In 1807 he preached the center ary serior -of the Association, and was in differ-Ent ways identified with many Bap. tist interests. It will be seen from this statement of Mr. Jours that the Chiladel. phia Association originated in 1907, out of - a yearly meeting which had been in existence for nineteen years, or since 1685. Morgan Edwards makes Antantially the same statement in his treatise on Baptists in Penny waria Volume I. Note another extract from Mr. Jones: "They were at this time but a feeble band, though a band of faithful brothers, consisting of but five church. Es, vis. Lower Wablin, Piscataway, Midditown levhansey and thethe Pract. Lower hublin was but another name for Cenne pel, and included at that

time and for thisty nine years there. after, the first church of Philadelphia. Just here it night be well to examine somewhat briefly each church in detail, which entered into the organ ization of the Philadelphia Association in 1717, for-an association necessariby partakes quite largely of the char action of the churches forming it. (a) Lower Aublin or Bennepet. This church was founded in Jamary 1688; and had Elias Keach, son of the famous Bunjamin Keach of houdow, as their first pastor. Keministered to theme for two years with marked means, baptizing persons into this fellowship at the Falls, leold Spring, Burlington, levhanzey and Salem. Swits organization it was beyond ques. tion Calbinistic, as we may leave from a statement of Morgan Edwards, (Volume I, section on Tenne pet.) Mr Keach was succeed. Ed by the John Watts, who came to this country from Lydd, England, in 1/286, and became

pastor of Lower Dublin in 1691. (See leath. east's Encyclopedia - of 18 aptists.) Has John Hatts - a Calvinist or an Ar -a statement from a letter from the Keach to Mr. Hatts, under the date of December-20-1693. "I bless Gad for the light I have received since leave wither. O brother, I never saw clearly into the glorious gospel till came to London This last time. Gospel light hath broke forth here more of late in three or four years than, I believe, since the aportary. Arminianism and Social anism begin to gasp for life. Universal redemption and falling from grace are almost heart-rick. Blessed be God for the clear revelation of his grace in the ever lasting coverant. Obsother, Jam a fraid lest you should be in the dalk about the correct of grace, and want light into the sternal compact between the father and row. Pray, read, weigh and take in those precious plain

Friths in my book." (See Edwards, Volume. This was after Mr. Reach had returned to London, and the latter part of this extract semito indicate that he did not regard Threatts as a Calvinist throughout the had some fear leat he (Mu Hatts) " should be in the dark about the covenant of grace" and lest he should "want light into the eternal compact between the father and sow" Expressions like there showns in what light the Keach regarded the that's on the subject of election. Again a cer. tain John Hatts in England was a from ment General Baptist. (See Taylor's General Baptist, Volume I, page 131, also Vas this John Watts the father of our John Watts? I can find nosatis factory and, and must bave the question also in doubt as to whether the that's of America was a Guiral or Particular Baptist. In 1702 he died of mall pox and his successor way

The Evan Morgan who was among the number that, with George Keith as leader, in 1691 separated from the makers. Nothing about his views on election can be found but as he came from the makers, who hold tenacious by to general atomement it is hardyprobable that he was a strong balinist. It night also be noted that Bennepet received many members from the Keithian makers. (See Edwards, Volume I section on (Pennepet, also on Keithian makers, and note in connection Benedict's History of the 13 aptists page and.) This together with another statement from Mr. Edwards, leads to the conclusion that, while Compet was lealvinistic "at the beginning and during the administration of Mr. Keach, at the formation of the Philadelphia As. Arinian sentiment! This statement of the Edwards will receive care ful cousid. Eration futher our in this chapter.

(b) Middle town. This - church was planted in 1688 - according to Benedict, but in 1690 according to others. fittle is known of its early history and there is nothing decisive in the available discussed to show that it was either strongly Calvinistic or tinctured with Association. buits Early history there was a division in the church and in order to heal at the menbers were advised by courseling bethree to subscribe to the Keach's Comfersion of Faith but se out of a men bership of as refund to sign Now this night signify that they were divided ou doctricial grounds, but we do not know with celtainty what reasons the minority had for their refusal. Stavas well known that Mr. Keach was a strong lealvinist, but it is not stated that this induced the uncority to with hold their names. Nothing has been found which shows decisively that A2. minian men bers were in this chusch and it is highly probable that it had wholely a lealvinistic menter. ship.

(e) (Tuscataway. This church was constituted in 1689. by the assistance of Thomas Billings worth and was the second church in the state of New Jerrey. Nothing definite is known as to the faith of this church. The only thing which indicates in the least Armin. iand sentiment, is the fact that they admitted to their ministry Rev. Henry Loveall, and ordained him as Muhlakis assistant He proved to be an impostor, but he was arrived with genning letters of recommidations from the Her England brethrin connected with the General Bap. tists. (See heavy of John leourer, page 92) But there is nothing very significant in this, and we admit that there is nothing to show definitely what this thurch believed in regard to the atomement of Christ. (d) leohangey. leohangey was the fourth church which en tered into the organization of the Philade I fhia Association in 1707. It was established in 1690. The church records are lost, consequently

it is very hard to leave anything foritive as to their early history; but it is probable that this church was pretty strong by lealvinistic at first. This is infund from a statement in Bunedicto History of The Baptists, page 584. Lecated here was the famous Timothy. Brooks, an Arminian Baptist, who with - a min for others had enigrated "from Swansea Massachusetts before the church was formed," and had heft for many years a separate rociety " on account of differences of opinion relation to predestination, singing & Praline, Luying oh of hands, etc." Sec Budiet's History of the Baptists page 5-54) 13 ut in 1909- two years after the formation of the Chilade Lphia, Association, Timothy Brooks became pastos of this church and Prought his Aringian members into it. (See Bluedict arabove) (c) Welsh Tract- was the fifth church in point of age. It enigrated as a church militant from Wales and first settled with Concepet in 1701. But they did not find things, just according to their notion so after two years they removed to theish Tract Delaware.

A careful examination of all the evidence in regard to this church leads to the following conclusion . When they came to Course fel they had an Arinnian meintership sufficiently strong when joined to a similar element already existing in the church at Vernepek to cause a great turnoil and even divisions which are to be noticed tater. So in 1708 they removed to Delaware and settled on a piece of land which they called Welsh Fract, leaving many of their Aminian members be hird and taking some of the stronger lealvinists of come pet with them this then was the first church in the Chiladelphia Association to adopt a lealinistic leverfession of Faith, being induced to do this by the Abel Morgan m 1716. (See Margan Edwards, Volume I, section on Tenne pet) Morgan came to this country from Black Gerlut in South Hales, he was a strong lealvinst and a man of great personal power. Cerhaps he was more largely instrumental in winning The victory for lealing than any other man the Association (f) the dust buch of the lack this is the only other to be considered in this

connection; this church really belonged to The Association at the biginning, but was included in Penneper not having formally separated from the mother church mitil 1746. It was strongly balinestic at its formation in 1698. John Farmer and his wife, two of the chaster menters were. lealvinsts, likewise were some of the other But by the additions from the Keithian Lucher who were Arminican and by the incorporation with them of Emigrant, from Europe there arose an Arminian chinent of some stringth. (See Edwards Volume I.) More over there can be but little doubt that this church was more on less influenced by the great number of Dichers who did not becau menters with them. All of there things are to be considered a little further ord in this chapter. It can be seen readily from this brief examination of the individual churches forming the Chiladelphia Association originally, that, while the dominant der timent was no doubt Calvinistic, there was a considerable Munique influence in several of the provinent churches.

Just here it will be in order to subuit of his day, which fully justifies the couche sion previously stated. Let the reader he ourtantly in mind g the important fact that he is the highest authority on this subject; his is the oldest document, id behind the document is a man of acknowledged ability and integrity. Speaking of the church at Come per, he relatis the following: "This for some time continued their Zilon with lengthered cords, till the brethren in remote parts set about forming themselves into distinct churches, which began in 1699. 18y there detachments, it was reduced to maison bounds, but continued among the church Es as a mother in the mids tof many daughters. At their settlement and during the administration of Mr. Keach, they were the same as they are now with respect t faith and order to but when their menters increased and Emigrants from different churches in Europe meorporated with Them, divisions began to take place about various things, such as absolute predes. tination, laying on of hands, distributing The elements, singing Balms, auch

day Sathath, etc., which there the body reclesiastic into a fever." (See Edwards, Vol mul I, section on Camepek.) This is indied a statement of the highest importance and there closely examined it will throw light ou some points before observe or at least uncertain. (1) The leave that Come pet was the leader among the churches forming the Chiladelphi Anviation, and even after other churcher had been organized, it had great influence for it continued among them as a moth evin the midst francy laughters." 12) The learn that there was a period in which harmony as to "faith and order" prevailed, followed by a period of disas. trous strife and divisions. "At their settlement-and during the ministration of the Keach, they were the same as they are mow with respect to faith and order, etc. The Edwards wrote about 1970 when Penne per and the entire Association was avoivedly balvinistic, as the Philadelphia Conferriou of Jaith amply proves. But the Keach, it will be received returned to England in 1692, and it was not until

after his return that a change of renting secured to take place, which Eaused such terrifie disorder and dissension. 3. Let us now examine the secarious of this discussor of belief. a) Absolute predestination. It may be judged with some degree of assurance that this was the great those of contention, because it was placed at the head of the list by the Edwards. now this strife about "absolute predestination" was in Pennepih and her daughters among whom was the first church of Philadelphic It was no small affair either, for it there the body ecclesiastic into a fever; Evidently there was an Arminian clement here which was quite strong, otherwise it could not have made such disription. Let us remember also that the Edwards was a vigorous lealvinist and surely would not have exaggerated on making such a declaration. It is like Oedo baptist testimony as to inmension being the original mode of baptism, if it were not true, why would such testimony be given ? (b) haying on of hands was the mext cause

of strife. In te hapter II on the characteristics of General Baptists, it was clearly pointed out that this rite was regarded as one of the high. est importance and made by many a test of fellowship, but there is nothing to show that the Carticular Saptists ever regarded it ad highly. There were new bers here undoubt who demanded that each candidate for anen bership should have this site administered but others claimed that it should not be made a test of church fellowship; hence the contention and division among them. The fact that - absolute predestination and laying on of hands were occasions of strife, shows the presence of Arminian church members, if this betrue, our question is answered in the negative, the Chiladelphia Andriation was not inits organization strictly Calvinistic. 1e, Seventh day Satbath was another cause of trouble. Mr. Edwards, speaking of Seventh- day Baptists, says: The character of General and Carticular divide them in this province, few as they are. They originated from the Keithian Baptists in 1900, as has been observed before, who were general in their sentiments touching the redemption of Christ" (See Volume I, hage (es.)

Singing Coalma is the last that we shall would give us very little light, but when taken in connection with the others, tigins additional weight to the view that we have advanced. It is well known that the Gener al Baptists were more bitterly offored to singing in public worship than the leal vinistic Baptisto. This was due no doubt to the close proximity of the latter to the makers. From the above consider ations The con clusion is reached that there was in the Philadelphia Association at its organ. igation and during its early history, a considerable Aminian heresy which it took years to endiente it took years to eradicate. But some one may ask did not the. Edwards say in The lintroduction of his treatise on the Baptists in Compliance Volume I, That They are independent with respect to church government and Calmistic with respect to doctine; and This last I may add, so minersally that the distinction of General and Cartiendar have no place among them. (See Johne I, page (e.) This does seen on first

observation to controver the above couche. sion, for the Edwards is the west we for tag To his testimony that the conclusion in reached. A withers divided against his self cannot stand. But it must be remembered that this statement is in the introduction to his treatise of the British Baftistin Courselvaria, and had reference to the condition of belief at the time of his writing which was in The year 1990, sixty three years after the formation of the Philadelphia Association. It is freely admitted that it was there and had been for more than thirty years out and out lealinistic, cothat tat the time The position of the Association. This remark of the Edwards does not in the least inter. fere with the conclusion we have Ex. pressed. It is desired in concluding this thesis to present the fact that if the Association was strictly lealvins tie at the beginning and during its early years of ex. isterce, there are some difficulties which can hardly be removed hove come; while which other hand, if we grant

the presence of a considerable element of Armians, all these difficulties vanish away. A brief survey of the situation amply shows the truth fulness of this assertion. I. In the formation of many churches of This early period, Arminian and Carticular Baptists united. If there were no nicubers Saptists under and there, it was quite different from what it was clienthere, this can hardly be believed, knowing that many of the churches were made up largely of the 2. Another point of difficulty is in regard to the adoption of the Philadelphia Confersion of Faith This lon ferrior of Faith according To Morgan Edwards and others was adopted in the year 1742. True her Sewall S. Conting says in his Historical Vindications, that the Confession of Faith was adopted prior to the year 1742, and adduces in proof of this asses. tion a statement in the minutes, or supfor the year 1924. This statement has been Examined with considerable care and the conclusion reached is that the socalled mutes were either made out from

munory or from some general statement given in come of the church records, for according to the Benedict, the minutes were not printed till a few years prior to 1748. So we cannot let the statement made in this book of the minutes of the Association 1707-1817, and compiled by a committee appointed in 1843, the one hundred and this ty-sixth aniversary of the Association, outweigh The plain statement of the Edwards to the contrary, (See Volume I, page 6:) her A.D. Gil-lette the chairman of the committee of. pointed for the purpose of complation, stat. ed to the Association in its annal meeting in 1846, "that through the hundrers of This Harris, daughter of Samuel Jones, D. hl. Thomas Shields, and thes, we have obtain. Ed minutes of various sersions Entire, as early as 1729." (See thirdetes of the thila. delphila Association 1907-1809, in truduction Soit is plain that we cannot rely with the atmost confidence on the statement in the minutes of 1724, and these minutes realled only simply that the Conferrion of Faith had already been adopted. In the year 1724, a query concerning the fourth commandment, whether changed, alter

ed or diminished the refer to the Comprise I Faith set for the by the Elders and brethren met in London 1489, and owned by us Chapter 22, section 7-8" (See think of Chil adelphia Association 1707-1807.) Gove did not know that the committee had no minutes prior to 1929, This would recen rather for. midable even against the plain assertion of thorgan Edwards, who says : "The faith and order of these people may be seen in the Confersion, Cateching and treatise of discipling which they adopted in their Ano. ciation held at Philladelphice in the year 1742" The John Hart who was present when i was adopted makes a similar statement There witnesses together with the recipientes of 1742 present conclusive Evidence that the comonly received year is the one muhich the Confession of Faith was adopt. Ed. Y it was not adopted in til the afore. said year, a very interesting question arises. Why was it udt adopted proces? If the Association was strictly balvinistic from the beginning, what good reason can be given for this delay? Home it cents. But if there was a considerable number of the niembers of the different cheaches who

were Gueral Baptists in sentiment, they The delay is easily accounted for the Anociation could not have adopted such a teoreferrion without discusion and perhaps utter ruin. Ho one can claim that the Association was in iquorance of the Conferrior of 1689, hudon as the bentury lever firsion, which was adopted, with the addition of two as tieles, in 1742.) How the Welsh mact church early in its his tory 17.14, adopted this Confessione. (See Edwards, Volumes, page 21.) Many of the men berr of This church for two years resided at Cenne per and Chiladelphia, and these were the leading churches in the Association in this Early period. But it may be as hed how can you account for this sudden change of sentiment and bold stand for tealiminin 1942, if to that time ? Easibly Enough. It was due very largely to the in fluence of George Shitefield. He came to America in 1989, and soon at the sa tire continent on fire by his eloquent and monder ful preaching He was an ardent Calvinist, and visited far and wide, and was a living example to show that a balin

ist might have religion as well as other people. The Chiladelphia Association caught his spirit, and a flame with his geal set about to work for their Master as never before. The influence of Gorge White field directly and indirectly together, it is fully be lieved saved the content of from the complete domin ion of Arminianism among the Baptists. and the adoption of the longenion of Faith in 1742 was largely due to his influence It seems rather singular to attribute our preponderance of Calvinism to a thethodist yet it is no doubt rightly attributed. On the supposition that there were truin. ian members in the churches the adopt. ion of the leon ferrior of taith as late as 1742, can be easily accounted for, other. wise the difficulty is alwart insuperable 3. Lastly, on the supposition that there were no Aminian equators in the different churches, the statement of Thorque Edwards presents a difficulty insurmountable. He plainty says that there was great connotion about "absolute predestination; e.e., ++++ which threw the body ecclesiastic into a fever. If there were no Arminians, this language

can hard by have any meaning; if there were, this difficulty ramishes. for the great commotions of the seventeen. Thand Eighteenth centuries, in which nie and mations changed their creeds both po hingdown lost their highered on the masses of the people; and on the recens of dead empires arose mighty republics; and the great matural freedom of man was Emphasized by the voice and per of many brave and trice men in this subline struggle for political and religious liberty it toks but natural that Calbinism and Aminianism should meet mustal combat. This they did in the Philadelphia Association, but error retreated be fore the power of truth, and the Association became the greatest champion and defender of orthodoxy in the Accesican Kepublic. Very truty Heston I Gruner For M. N. B. April-11-1894.